

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

DEC 16 2005

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE  
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte TADAHIRO OHMI, HIROSHI MOROKOSHI,  
MICHIO YAMAJI, SHIGEAKI TANAKA, KEIJI HIRAO, UJI KAWANO,  
TAKASHI HIROSE, KOSUKE YOKOYAMA, MICHIO KURAMOCHI,  
MASAYUKI HATANO, and NOBUKAZU IKEDA

Application No. 09/023,416

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 4, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

A review of the file indicates that the appeal brief filed July 5, 2005 does not fully comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(I) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need

only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(I) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

(ix) *Evidence appendix.* An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) *Related proceedings appendix.* An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

A review of the application indicates that the following appropriate sections are missing from the appeal brief filed July 5, 2005:

- 1) "Evidence appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and
- 2) "Related proceedings appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

A supplemental appeal brief that is in compliance with the headings as set forth under 37 CFR § 41.37 are required. For more information on the Board's new rules see the web page entitled More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI, Final Rule at:

Application No. 09/023,416

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.

Also, in the examiner's answer (page 3, paragraph 8) mailed on August 9, 2005, the examiner listed as prior art, Itafuji, JP 7-286720. A review of the file reveals that an English translation was not provided for this reference. Before further review of this application, the examiner must submit an English translation of the complete reference.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be returned to the examiner to: 1) hold the appeal brief of July 5, 2005 defective; 2) request appellants to file a supplemental appeal brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37; 3) consider the supplemental appeal brief, and if necessary, vacate the examiner's answer mailed August 9, 2005, and issue a new examiner's answer; 4) entry of an English translation for Itafuji, JP 7-286720;

Application No. 09/023,416

5) supply a copy of the translation to appellants; and 6) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By:   
Craig R. Feinberg  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(571)272-9797

Application No. 09/023,416

cc: Armstrong, Westerman Hattori  
McLeland & Naughton  
Suite 1000  
1725 K Street N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

CRF/tdl